



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRWP-11409-2025 (O&M)

Decided on: 03.11.2025

AJEET BHARTI

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Ashish Dixit, Advocate (Through VC) with
Mr. Shivam Tiwari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 read with Article 227 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of petitioner's personal liberty in accordance with law and to secure anticipatory protection against coercive action stated to be arising from a spate of FIRs set in motion on account of twisted interpretation of purported statement given by the petitioner qua the widely reported courtroom incident of 06.10.2025 involving Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India.

2. On the previous date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner limited his prayer to the extent that the State be directed to inform the petitioner regarding the FIR registered against him as he



comes to know from an article published in National Newspaper that some FIR has been registered against him in the State of Punjab.

3. On 27.10.2025, learned counsel for the State sought time to apprise the Court regarding FIR against the petitioner. Today learned counsel for the State submitted that there are several links of videos on social media wherein a campaign by using abusive and derogatory language has been started against Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India. It is not feasible at this stage to ascertain the person who has been arraigned as an accused in FIRs registered, from the links available. Learned counsel submits that the present petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to furnish details of the video uploaded on social media to the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, through email and get details regarding the FIR against him as FIR has also been registered at District S.A.S. Nagar .

4. Heard.

5. The respondent-State has taken cognizance of the matter as there have been certain weblinks circulating on the social media containing offensive videos against Hon'ble the Chief Justice of India and the State is investigating the matter but at this point of time, the investigation is undergoing and it cannot be ascertained that the petitioner in the matter is an accused or not in any case.

6. The present petition is disposed of with the direction that if the petitioner/accused wants to know the details of FIR then he is at liberty to furnish details of the video uploaded by him on social media to



the Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar and get the details regarding the FIR against him.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

03.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO