



CRM-M-59019-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-59019-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:03.12.2025

Anand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Ms. Mansi Majoka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate, for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)**

1. On 17.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The allegation against him is being a part of the unlawful assembly wherein co-accused, namely, Sudhir had caused a grievous injury to son of the complainant. Similarly circumstanced coaccused, Bijender has since been granted interim anticipatory bail, vide order dated 24.09.2025, Annexure P-3. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Mr.

**CRM-M-59019-2025 (O&M) 2**

Shashikant Gupta, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 29.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 03.12.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation on 25.10.2025 and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 17.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)



**CRM-M-59019-2025 (O&M) 3**

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
JUDGE**

**December 03, 2025**

dinesh

Whether speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No