



CRM-M-58955-2025

-1-

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-58955-2025

Date of Decision:-19.11.2025

RAVI KUMAR @ KARTOOS

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CRM-M-62187-2025

SWARANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Ms. Simi Kandra, Advocate
for the Petitioner in CRM-M-58955-2025.

Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-62187-2025.

Mr. M.S. Toor, A.A.G., Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-58955-2025 titled as Ravi Kumar @ Kartoos Versus State of Punjab and CRM-M-62187-2025 titled as Swarandeeep Singh Versus State of Punjab as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRM-M-58955-2025.

*CRM-M-58955-2025**-2-*

2. The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.0038 dated 26.03.2025 registered under Sections 21/27(A)/29/61/85 of NDPS Act and Section 111(2) BNS, 2023 (Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act added later on) at Police Station Doraha, District Khanna.

3. The brief facts of the case are that Anuj @ Lalla, Ravi Kumar @ Kartoos (petitioner in CRM-M-58955-2025), Suraj and Swarandeep Singh (petitioner in CRM-M-62187-2025) were apprehended while travelling in a car. 20 grams of heroin was recovered from a black bag lying on the rear seat of the car. From the bag Rs.3,50,000/- and 33 US dollars were recovered.

4. Ravi Kumar further got recovered a 32 bore pistol with a magazine containing two live cartridges and two Kirpans. One Gurpreet Singh alias Kala was also nominated as an accused. Subsequently, one Harpal Singh was apprehended with 7 illegal pistols with empty magazines. Thereafter, one Kuldeep Singh was apprehended with 6 more illegal pistols.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. There are violations of the mandatory provisions of the Act regarding search and seizure including Sections 42 and 50. As the petitioners are in custody since 26.03.2025 but none of the 15 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and the recovery is of non-commercial quantity of contraband, they are entitled to the concession of bail.

6. A reply dated 17.11.2025 by way of an affidavit of Jasbinder

*CRM-M-58955-2025**-3-*

Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Payal, Police District Khanna has been filed in CRM-M-58955-2025 on behalf of the State by the learned counsel for the State. The same is taken on record. He contends that serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioners. Offences of this kind are on the rise and, therefore, they are not entitled to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the petitioners are in custody since 26.03.2025 but none of the 15 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far and that the recovery is of non-commercial quantity of contraband.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

8. Admittedly, the recovery from the petitioners is of non-commercial quantity of contraband. Therefore, the bar contained under Section 37 of the NDPS Act would not be applicable to the case of the petitioners. Further, the petitioners are stated to be in custody since 26.03.2025 but none of the 15 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

9. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

10. The petitioners (or anyone on their behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- each and deposit the same with the Trial Court.



CRM-M-58955-2025

-4-

The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.

11. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
JITESH

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>