

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:033832



(214)

CRM-M-60289-2024

Date of Decision: 10.03.2025

Juned Khan

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.

Present:- Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL.J (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.269 dated 31.12.2022 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station, Rozka Meo, District Nuh.

On 02.12.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that all the identically placed co-accused have since been extended the concession of anticipatory bail; the petitioner too was extended the concession of interim bail by the learned Trial Court, however, he was unable to join investigation on account of another case having been registered against him. Resultantly, the petitioner was declined the concession of

anticipatory bail. Learned counsel submits that even otherwise in the present case, the petitioner's name has surfaced only in the disclosure statement allegedly suffered by co-accused Sunder. It has still further been urged by the learned counsel that the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 02.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 02.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

10.03.2025

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No