

2025:PHHC:165028



**195 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-30723-2025 (O&M)
Decided on:-27.11.2025**

Dilawar Singh and others

....Petitioners..

VS.

Union of India and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rishi Kaushal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed with the following
prayer:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to deposit and pay the enhanced compensation for the entire acquired land of the petitioners i.e. 23 marlas comprised in Khasra No.11//16/2 (0-16) and 25/1/1 (0-7) as the petitioners have received the enhanced compensation in execution only for 7 marlas of Khasra No.25/1/1 and not for 16 marlas of Khasra No.11//16/2 due to some clerical mistake in Section 3-G (5) petition as well as in the head note of the award of the Id. Arbitrator wherein instead of correct Khasra No.11//16/2, wrongly Khasra No.11//6/2 has been mentioned.”

2. Reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

4. Since, the incorrect Khasra number bearing 11//6/2 has been mentioned in the award dated 25.07.2011 (Annexure P-5) passed by the learned Arbitrator-cum-Commissioner, Jalandhar Division based on a *bonafide* typographical error made by the petitioners-landowners in their arbitration reference, the same needs to be corrected by the learned Arbitrator only. As such, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition to approach the learned Arbitrator by moving an appropriate application invoking Order 6 Rule 7 CPC read with Section 151/152 CPC.

4.1 In case, any such application is filed before the learned Arbitrator by the petitioners within three weeks from today, the same be finally decided within two months thereafter.

5. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

27.11.2025

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No