



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60868-2024**Date of Decision: 09.01.2025**

Baldev Singh alias Joban Singh alias Teedi

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Sahil Rathi, Advocate and
Mr. Sumit Chaudhary, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.41 dated 22.04.2024 registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC (later on added offences under Sections 326/201 of IPC), at Police Station Bhikhiwind, Tehsil Patti, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the parties in the present case are closely related to each other and have already entered into a compromise vide compromise deed dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) and even the complainant, namely, Jashandeep Singh has sworn an affidavit dated 08.08.2024 (Annexure P-4) in favour of the present petitioner. He further contends that even as per the prosecution version, the petitioner had given a single blow with *datar* on the right arm of Sukhdev Singh, cousin of the



complainant. However, the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Still further, challan against the petitioner has already been presented before the competent Court and since the matter has been settled between the parties, the chances of conviction are bleak.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 11.10.2024 and is in custody for the last about 03 months. Moreover, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties, who are closely related to each other.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

09.01.2025

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No