



207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58121-2025

Date of Decision: 07.11.2025

CHETAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Abhijeet Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Vasundhara Dalal Anand, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. This is the first application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.144, dated 24.06.2025, registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamuna Nagar , under Sections 61(2), 111(2) and 238 (b) of BNS and Sections 25(6), 25(7) and 29(b) of the Arms Act.

2. Reply by way of affidavit dated 06.11.2025 of Harvinder Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur (Yamuna Nagar) filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

4. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that **on 24.06.2024**,



HC Ravinder Singh along with other police officials was present near village Balachaur, when he received secret information that Chetan Singh (petitioner) and Sahil Khan, who are the henchmen of gangsters namely Gaurav and Gulzar, are present near the Dadpur turn armed with weapons with an intention to commit some crime and in case, raid is conducted, they can be caught red-handed. Thereafter, the police party reached the disclosed place from where both the aforesaid persons were apprehended and two country-made pistols were recovered from petitioner and one pistol was recovered from co-accused Sahil Khan which were loaded with five rounds each. The accused were arrested and weapons were taken into possession, and the formal FIR under Sections 25(6), 25(7), 54 and 59 of the Arms Act and Sections 61(2) and 111(2) of BNS, 2023, was registered. After completion of investigation, final report has been presented for trial.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated and recovery has been forcibly planted upon him. The petitioner does not belong to any gang and provisions of Section 111(2) of BNS, 2023 are not at all attracted as the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case of similar nature. These provisions have been added only to make the offence graver so that he is not enlarged on bail. Now, after completion of investigation, final report has already been presented. The trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude, and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail in



view of the gravity of the offence.

7. Petitioner along with one Sahil Khan was arrested by the police on the basis of secret information. Two country-made pistols were recovered from petitioner with cartridge. There is no history of involvement of the petitioner in any organized crime and as such, it will be a debatable question during the trial as to whether provisions of Section 111(2) of BNS, 2023 are attracted or not. The petitioner is in custody since 24.06.2024. Investigation has already been completed and challan presented. The trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude, and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

07.11.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No