



CWP-29419-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(248)

CWP-29419-2023

Date of Decision : 10.07.2025

Suman Devi and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Chirag Kundu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate and
Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 7.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 23.08.2023 (Annexure P-13), as passed by the Chief Engineer (Operations), UHBVN, Rohtak (respondent No.7), vide which the claim of the petitioners for grant of compensation on account of electrocution of husband of petitioner No.1, and father of petitioner No.2, was declined.

2. Upon notice in the instant petition, Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the contesting respondents No.2 to 7, and submits that the application of the LRs of the petitioner was examined, by the distribution licensee concerned, and on the basis of the opinion tendered by the Legal Remembrancer of the distribution licensee concerned, they have now decided to re-consider the application, as filed by the petitioners, for grant of compensation.



CWP-29419-2023

2

3. In view of the specific stand, as taken by the respondents, the order (*supra*), as challenged through the instant petition, is ordered to be **set aside**. Consequently, the *lis* is remanded back to the Chief Engineer (Operations), UHBVN, Rohtak (respondent No.7), who in accordance with the policy/notification dated 08.07.2019, shall decide the claim/application of the present petitioners, which was filed way back in the year 2020.

4. In view of the above, this Court deems it apt to pass a *mandamus*, upon the respondent No.7, to decide the claim/application of the petitioners, within a period of two months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 10, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No