

CRM-M-65180 of 2023

2025:PHHC:013067



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-65180 of 2023

Date of decision: 29.01.2025

Ravi Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CRM-M-65194 of 2023

Rajwinder Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Sunil Kumar Rohilla, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-65180 of 2023
and for respondents No.2 to 4 in CRM-M-65194 of 2023.

Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-65194 of 2023
and for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-65180 of 2023.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. CRM-M-65180 of 2023 has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 0086, dated 24.09.2022, under Sections 452, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC and CRM-M-65194 of 2023 has been filed for quashing of cross-case bearing G.D. No.033 dated 24.09.2022 under Sections 324, 323, 354, 427, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar (Rural), on the basis of a compromise dated 17.02.2023 arrived at between the parties.



However, all the accused named in the FIR/cross-case are not party before this Court in the present petitions.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 02.09.2024 following order was passed: -

“The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 0086, dated 24.09.2022, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar (Rural), under Sections 452, 323, 427, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC, on the basis of a compromise dated 17.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties. However, all the accused named in the FIR are not party before this Court in the present petition.

In view of the reference made by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court to a larger Bench of this Court, vide order dated 29.02.2024, passed in CRM-M-48043-2023, titled Rakesh Das vs. State of Haryana and another, the present case is adjourned to 29.01.2025.”

3. In view of the law laid down by a Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Rakesh Das** (supra) wherein it has been held that petition for quashing of FIR on the basis of partial compromise is not maintainable, learned counsel for the petitioners wish to withdraw the present petitions at this stage.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

29.01.2025

R.S.

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No