



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-58032-2025  
Date of decision: 11.12.2025

GURPREET SINGH ALIAS GOPI .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB .....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Rajesh Batheja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 35 dated 28.04.2022, registered under Section(s) 302, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Offence under Section 120-B of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Moga, District Moga.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and that all the material witnesses, including the complainant and the eye-witness, have failed to support the prosecution version and have been declared hostile. It is further submitted that 11 prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined. Counsel also submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and that the co-accused namely Bohar Singh, Prem Kumar, Kuldeep Singh and Surjeet Singh have already been granted the concession of regular

bail by this Court vide orders dated 20.03.2023 in CRM-M-12752-2023, 09.02.2023 in CRM-M-5917-2023, 22.03.2023 in CRM-M-13156-2023 and 22.03.2023 in CRM-M-11217-2023, respectively.

3. Learned State Counsel does not in a position to dispute the aforesaid facts.

4. Having heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner, his clean antecedents, the stage of the trial as well as the fact that similarly placed co-accused have been granted concession of regular bail, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

5. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

DECEMBER 11, 2025  
*Vishal Sharma*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No