



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11159-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.11.2025

The Simon Cooperative Labour and Construction Society Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate with
Mr. Himanshu Bindal, Advocate and
Ms. Himani Jamwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed by the petitioner, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of *mandamus* for seeking directions to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and its workers which has been severely prejudiced/threatened at the hands of private respondent Nos. 5 to 13 being the representatives/members of rival Labour Union/Contractors situated in District Tarn Taran (Punjab).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the Punjab Foodgrains Labour and Cartage Policy, 2025 (P-1) issued by the Government of Punjab, Department of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Anaj Bhawan, Sector-39-C, Chandigarh and has placed reliance upon Clause-16 of the said Policy, which reads as under:-

“16. Grievance Redressal:- In order to ensure smooth labour & cartage of food grains and redressal of grievances the following grievance redressal mechanism shall be followed in each district:-



A. The Deputy Commissioner concerned will appoint Assistant Commissioner (Grievance) as Grievance Officer to tackle on priority all such complaints from various tenderers which have the potential to create serious law and order situation during procurement season.

B. The Department of Home Affairs, Government of Punjab, will ensure to appoint a senior officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police, in each district, to take action on complaints involving law and order situation and to provide adequate police arrangement where ever required.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it is obligatory over the Deputy Commissioner concerned to look into the grievance of the petitioner for its redressal, rather as per policy, the grievances can be redressed by the Department of Home Affairs also by appointing a senior officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police in each district, to take action into the complaints moved by such aggrieved persons/company. He further refers to representations dated 07.10.2025 addressed to Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran (P-3) and also to Deputy Commissioner, District Tarn Taran (P-4). Counsel further points out that in similar situation, different Coordinate Benches of this Court have been entertaining the petitions by issuing the directions to look into the representations. Reliance is also placed upon the orders dated 04.04.2022 passed in CRWP-3003-2022 (P-5); CRWP-3024-2022 decided on 04.04.2022 (P-6) and CRWP-3275-2022 decided on 08.04.2022 (P-7).

4. In view of the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the petitioner and also material referred by the petitioner's counsel during the course of hearing including the earlier orders passed by different Coordinate Benches, this Court deem it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition by directing Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran and Superintendent of Police, Tarn



Taran to look into the grievance of the petitioner as per Clause-16 of the Policy for appropriate redressal of the grievance, if any exists in favour of the petitioner and then to take suitable action/step in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, present petition is disposed of.

07.11.2025
Harish Kumar

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>