



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-30558-2025
DECIDED ON: 20.11.2025

DEVA SINGH

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-12) passed by the respondents, whereby the claim of the petitioner for grant of interest on delayed payment of arrears of pay—arising out of correct fixation of pay as well as the grant of financial upgradations under the ACP Scheme—has been rejected. A further prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant interest @ 12% per annum on arrears of pay amounting to ₹13,10,185/-, from the date the amount fell due till realization, which was ultimately paid to the petitioner in August 2024 (Annexure P-9), after an inordinate delay of 14 years from the date of his reinstatement in service i.e. 21.12.2010, the delay being solely attributable to the respondents without any fault on the part of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court by filing CWP-7972-2025, which was disposed of vide order dated 21.03.2025, directing respondent No. 3-Inspector General of Police, North Western Sector, Central Reserve Police Force (CRPF) to decide the legal notice dated 27.10.2024 (Annexure P-9) and pass a speaking order. Pursuant thereto, the respondents passed the impugned order dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-12), whereby the petitioner's claim for interest on delayed payment of arrears of pay and benefits under the ACP Scheme has been rejected.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Sr. Panel Counsel appearing for Mr. Ishank Bansal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents/UOI and submits that the petitioner's claim for interest on delayed payment shall be reconsidered afresh in accordance with law, in the light of the judgment dated 20.04.2024 passed in CWP-8711-2024 (Annexure P-13).

5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents/UOI, the impugned order dated 13.06.2025 (Annexure P-12) is hereby quashed.

6. The respondents/UOI are directed to decide the petitioner's claim for interest on delayed payment afresh, strictly in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

7. The petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.11.2025

Meenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No