



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

303

CRM-M-60098-2024

Date of decision: February 3rd, 2025

Gurmail Singh @ Monu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

Ms. Kashish Sahni, Advocate
for Mr. Chetan Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.373 dated 20.07.2024 under Sections 370, 406, 420 of the IPC and Sections 10, 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 02.12.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 07.01.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

February 3rd, 2025
Puneet

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No