



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CRM-M-61346-2024
Decided on : 11.08.2025

Vijay Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Vijay Pal Singh has filed instant petition under Section 528 of the BNSS, seeking quashing of the impugned order dated 18.05.2022 (Annexure P-7), whereby Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul (Revisional Court) upset the order dated 12.01.2022, passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Mahendergarh, whereby in an application under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. direction was issued to the SHO concerned to record supplementary statements of complainant and mother of deceased Pooja, also to take recording prepared by one Deepak and then to submit the report accordingly.
2. Noticing the judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court in '*Abhinandan Jha and others Vs. Dinesh Mishra*', 1968 AIR 117 (SC) and also in '*Pratap Ram and others Vs. State of Rajasthan and other*', *Criminal Miscellaenous petition No. 125 of 1991 (DOD 31.01.1992) of Rajasthan High Court*, Revisional Court concluded that Magistrate is not empowered to issue specific directions to the Investigating officer, as to in which manner the investigation is to be conducted and whose statements are to be recorded, because that may amount causing interference in the investigating process of the police.
3. Therefore, looking at the reason assigned by the Revisional Court, this Court does not find any substantial reason to cause any interference with the Revisional Court's order.

**CRM-M-61346-2024****- 2 -**

Moreover, Court is informed that trial has substantially reached near completion because, out of total 19 prosecution witnesses, 16 have already been examined, after submission of the final report. In view of this, this Court neither find any substantial reason to cause interference; nor finds that at such a stage any specific direction is required to be issued. Accordingly, petition is disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

11.08. 2025*rashmi**Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**Whether Reportable: Yes/No*