2025:PHHC:015083

117

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

CR-7219-2024(O&M)
Date of decision : 03.02.2025

Pushpa Devi ... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Pradeep Bhardwaj, Advocate for
Mr.Lokesh Gollen, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 18.12.2024, a request for adjournment had been made. Today again, a request for adjournment has been made without filing an adjournment slip.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition be disposed of, at this stage, but liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the same.

3. The present petition is disposed of, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same when the petitioner is ready to argue the matter. Along with the application for revival, the petitioner would also annex the zimni orders subsequent to the order dated 24.10.2024 and also show as to how the present petition is maintainable in view of the judgment dated 12.07.2002 of the Madras High Court passed in ***CRP(PD) No.3576 of 2001*** titled as ***"K.S. Geetha vs. Stanleybuck".***

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 03, 2025
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No

Davinder Kumar
2025.02.03 17:58
I attest the accuracy and
authenticity of this order /
judgment