



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.235**TA-1334-2025****Date of Decision: 22.12.2025****AARTI SRIVASTAV****....Applicant****Versus****PUNEET SRIVASTAV****.....Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present:- Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

ARCHANA PURI, J. (Oral)

As observed in the previous order, despite service, the respondent did not make appearance, on that date. Even today, he has not made appearance. As such, respondent is proceeded against *ex parte*.

Counsel for the applicant heard.

The applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act i.e. HMA/941/2024, titled '*Puneet Srivastav v/s Aarti Srivastav*', filed by the respondent-husband, pending in the Family Court, Ambala and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

At the very outset, it is submitted by the counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis, had taken place on 25.11.2020. On account of matrimonial dispute, the parties are residing separate. The applicant is a trainee advocate, practising at Chandigarh. She is



not having any sufficient means, to pursue the litigation. In the given circumstances, she has filed the present application for transfer of the divorce petition.

While considering the transfer applications, relating to the matrimonial disputes, the courts generally give preference to the convenience of the wife, but however, this is not the sole factor, which ought to be taken into consideration. Various other circumstances, spelt out from the material brought on record, ought to be taken into consideration. In the case in hand, on query by the court, it is stated that there is no child born from the estranged marriage.

Considering the aforesaid and also taking into consideration the very fact of the applicant being an Advocate and also the distance between the two places, where the petition is pending and where it is sought to be transferred, is less than 50 kms and has good means of road and rail connectivity, no case is made out for acceptance of the transfer application.

Hence, the transfer application is hereby dismissed.

22.12.2025

Sonu Saini

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No