



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

LPA-3029-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.01.2025

Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University and others
....Appellants

Versus

Rakesh Kumar and another
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Shreenath A. Khemka, Advocate,
for the appellants.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

This is an intra-court appeal under clause X of the Letters Patent, against an order and judgment dated 02.09.2024, passed by the learned Single Judge, vide which the petition filed by the respondent-petitioner was allowed. And, he was also held entitled to Rs.25,000/- as cost.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the appellants, as always, fairly submits that considering the nature of dispute, he would rather confine the challenge in the appeal only to the cost imposed upon the appellant-University. Accordingly, he submits that the impugned order and judgment shall be complied with, without any further loss of time. However, in the wake of the *bonafide* stand set out by the appellant-University before the Writ Court, imposition of the cost was unwarranted.

In conspectus of the above and to balance the equity, the impugned order and judgment is modified only to the extent that the appellant-University is absolved from the payment of cost of Rs.25,000/-. We may hasten to clarify that this Court is mindful that this order is being passed without any formal notice to the respondent-petitioner, but only to



avert the expenditure that he shall have to incur to appear and defend these proceedings. However, in the event, he still feels prejudiced by this order, in any manner, he shall be at liberty to move an application in this appeal itself, for appropriate orders.

Accordingly, the appeal is disposed of, in the above terms.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

21.01.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No