



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-59315-2024
Date of Decision: 29.01.2025

Hari Narayan Rajbhar Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Preetinder S. Ahluwalia, Advocate,
Mr. Saumya Ahluwalia, Advocate, and
Mr. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.433 dated 13.10.2022, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Section 3 of the Emblems and Names (Prevention of Improper Use) Act, 1950, at Police Station I.M.T. Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. Pursuant to the interim order dated 17.12.2024, the petitioner joined investigation of the case on 27.12.2024 and 28.12.2024, as mentioned in the status report filed on behalf of the State. It is, however, alleged that he did not cooperate for effecting recovery of the amount received by him.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that as per the final report dated 11.09.2024, the petitioner's custody was sought by the police for taking his handwriting and matching his voice sample. However, the petitioner admitted his signatures over the documents concerned, as also his voice in the video, as recorded in the order dated 28.12.2024 passed by the trial Court, Annexure R-2. And the assertion of non-cooperation by the



petitioner is without any basis since there is no allegation in the FIR that any money was handed over to him by the complainant; the money was statedly given to co-accused Sharad Jain and Rahul Nagar.

4. Learned State counsel is not in a position to dispute the facts aforestated.

5. In view thereof, the petition is allowed and the interim order, dated 17.12.2024, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

29.01.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No