



CRM-M-57654-2025 (O&M) -1-

(260) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-57654-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.12.2025

AMAR ALIAS CHIPPA
... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA (ORAL)

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, for the respondent-State

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for the complainant-
respondent No.2

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’) seeking anticipatory bail in the FIR mentioned below :-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
201	23.04.2025	Sector 58, District Faridabad, Haryana	109(1), 115, 118(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNS’)

2. As per the allegations, on 01.03.2025, the petitioner alongwith the co-accused Neeraj @ Sapola, Shiva alias Taki and some unknown persons came to the house of the complainant and asked about



CRM-M-57654-2025 (O&M) -2-

the whereabouts of her husband Sher Singh. The complainant asked them as to what had happened and they they had started hurling abuses to her. Accused Neeraj @ Sapola struck blows with knife, accused Shiva @ Taki struck blows with a plastic pipe on her neck and the petitioner struck blows with danda. She sustained injuries and raised clamour on hearing which, a crowd had gathered there and then the assailants fled away while extending threat to kill her. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The petitioner was arrested on 24.04.2025. He suffered disclosure statement and supplementary disclosure statement and has confessed his offence and got recovered a danda used by him in the crime. Investigation now stands completed.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case due to party friction. He did not cause any injury to the complainant. The injury that has been opined to be dangerous to life on the person of the complainant has not been attributed to him. He is in custody since 24.04.2025. The trial will take considerable time to conclude. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. It is, therefore, urged that he deserves to be released on bail.

4. Status report and custody certificate have been filed. It is argued by learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioner who alongwith the co-accused had caused simple as well as grievous injuries to the victim and one of these injuries has been opined to be dangerous to life. He is a habitual



CRM-M-57654-2025 (O&M) -3-

offender being involved in eight other cases. There are chances of his absconding, intimidating the witnesses or committing similar offences, if extended benefit of bail. It is, therefore, urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has heard the rival submissions made by learned counsel for both the parties at considerable length.

6. The petitioner alongwith the co-accused is alleged to have assaulted the victim and caused injuries to her by striking blows with a danda. The injury No.2 as found on the person of the victim was opined to be dangerous to life but the same was not attributed to the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2025. There is no likelihood of the conclusion of trial in the near future. The continued detention of the petitioner will not serve any fruitful purpose. His involvement in other cases cannot be considered to be a cause for denying benefit of bail to him. In view of the above discussed facts but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petition deserves to be allowed. Accordingly, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds as well as surety bonds by two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned and further subject to his abiding by the following conditions :-

(i) the petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by specific order of the Court.



CRM-M-57654-2025 (O&M) -4-

- (ii) the petitioner shall not commit any offence similar to the offence of which he is an accused;
- (iii) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any Police Officer;
- (iv) he shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court;
- (v) he shall deposit his passport, if any, with the jurisdiction of Magistrate/trial Court.

7. In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the jurisdictional Court shall be empowered to consider the application for cancellation, if any, and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and shall not influence the outcome of the trial.

9. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

15.12.2025
Amit Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No