



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-31868-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.07.2025

GURJEET SINGH

.... Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Nitin Goswami, Advocate for
Mr. Piyush Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents not to harass the petitioner and restraining them from calling him to the police Station.
2. Upon notice, status report by way of affidavit of Sh. Satwinder Singh Virk, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Industrial Area-B, Ludhiana, has been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. It has been stated therein that petitioner and respondent No.5 have given complaints against each other and there is a dispute inter se. An inquiry was conducted and it was found that a similar complaints had been moved at an earlier point of time. It has been stated that the petitioner was called in connection with the inquiry in the complaint, however, he is no longer required.
3. In view of the above, there is no merit in the writ petition, which is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

29.07.2025
pooja saini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No