



CRM-M-57311-2025

- 1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-57311-2025
Date of decision: 03.12.2025

SONU ALIAS SOHAN LAL AND ANOTHERPetitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. S.K. Jindal, Advocate with
Ms. Sonia Bohat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.97 dated 13.07.2025, registered under Sections 115, 118(1), 126, 190, 191(2) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Bapoli, District Panipat, Haryana.

2. On 13.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.97 dated 13.07.2025, registered under Sections 115, 118(1), 126, 190, 191(2) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Bapoli, District Panipat, Haryana.

Notice of motion. Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. N.K. Chhokar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of complainant/respondent No.2 and accepts notice and filed his memorandum of appearance, which is taken on record. He further undertakes to file power of attorney before the next date of hearing. All the parties have been heard and material collected by the police



- 2-

during investigation has been perused.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that petitioners have been falsely implicated. Vide order dated 01.10.2025 (Annexure P-1), they were granted the benefit of ad-interim anticipatory bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat and in compliance thereof, they joined the investigation but subsequently, the anticipatory bail application was dismissed only on the ground that they have not got the place of occurrence demarcated, they refused to sign their disclosure statements and they have not cooperated during the investigation. Learned counsel further contended that all the remaining co-accused have been released on anticipatory bail. All the offences except the offence under Section 118(1) of BNS are bailable, which are all Magisterial trial and victims have suffered simple injuries. Learned counsel further contended that the allegation by the police that they have not cooperated during the investigation is false and they cannot be compelled to confess their guilt under the garb of cooperation during the investigation.

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of offence, they do not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*Adjourned to **03.12.2025** for filing status report.*

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*



iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

- 3. Status report dated 28.11.2025 by way of affidavit of Narender Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samalkha, Panipat has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.
- 4. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 13.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
- 5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 13.10.2025, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
- 6. Disposed of.
- 7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

03.12.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No