



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-30340-2025

Date of Decision: 04.11.2025

SUMAN KUMARI

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. S. S. Pattar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to pay full remuneration to the petitioner as Guest Faculty (Chemistry) in Government Polytechnic, Chhapar, District Charkhi Dadri.

2. Learned State counsel has received instructions from the second respondent/Director General, Technical Education, vide memo dated 04.11.2025, which is retained on the case file along with enclosures as Annexure 'A'. Referring to the same, she contended that the petitioner was initially engaged as Guest Faculty (Chemistry) on hourly basis on 21.08.2008 at B. K. N. Government Polytechnic, and was thereafter shifted to fixed monthly remuneration with effect from 12.09.2019. Depending upon the workload, she was again shifted to payment of remuneration on hourly basis with effect from 12.10.2022. Later, due to decrease of workload, she was declared surplus and relieved from the Institute. Subsequently, she was adjusted as Guest Faculty on full remuneration with effect from 19.04.2023 in another Institute - Government Polytechnic, Chhapar, Charkhi Dadri, and

continued working there till 31.08.2023. In September, 2023, her engagement



was again changed to hourly basis, and she continues working as such to date. As per nature of her engagement, varying from time to time as aforementioned, she has been paid remuneration admissible in terms of the Government Policy at the rates prescribed therein. Therefore, the petitioner’s claim for full remuneration is not maintainable.

3. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is entitled to salary for the summer vacation of June, 2023, as she was working on full remuneration from 19.04.2023 to 31.08.2023, and may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to make a representation only to that effect to the second respondent in the light of law laid down by this Court in CWP-20975-2021 titled *Sapna v. State of Haryana and others*, decided on 04.07.2023.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner within two weeks from today, the same will be decided by the second respondent/Director General, Technical Education, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three weeks of receiving the representation, keeping in view the law laid down in *Sapna* case *ibid*.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

04.11.2025
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No