

2025:PHHC:159397



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58141-2025 (O&M)

Reserved on : 07.11.2025

Pronounced on : 18.11.2025

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Jashandeep Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C., is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 137 dated 06.06.2025, registered under Sections 21(B), 27 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (*for short 'NDPS Act'*) at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bathinda.

2. As per the allegations, on 06.06.2025, the petitioner along with co-accused Santo and Vishal @ Sundi was apprehended by a police party and 08 grams of heroin kept in a transparent polythene envelope had been recovered from them. Investigation now stands completed.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that she has been falsely implicated in this case on account of her previous dispute with the police officials. No recovery has been effected from her. Rather, a false recovery has been planted. The trial is likely to take time to conclude. She is

2025:PHHC:159397



in custody since long. Her involvement in other cases cannot be considered to be a reason for denying her concession of bail. Therefore, it is urged that the petition deserves to be allowed and the petitioner deserves to be released on regular bail.

4. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted by learned State counsel that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner as well as her criminal antecedents, she is not entitled to get benefit of bail. Hence, it is urged that the petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. This Court has heard the rival submissions.

6. The petitioner is in custody since 06.06.2025. The quantity of the contraband allegedly recovered from her and co-accused does not fall under commercial quantity. Investigation has since been completed. Conclusion of trial would take considerable time. Pendency of other cases cannot be made a ground for denying benefit of bail to the petitioner in given circumstances. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping her in custody anymore. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail in case the petitioner is found involved in any other subsequent case.

7. It is made clear that any observation made herein above is only for the purpose of deciding the present petition and the same shall have no

2025:PHHC:159397



bearing on the merits of the case.

18.11.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No