

2025-PHHC-005565-D8



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-28844-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.01.2025**

SRS City Palwal AGH Buyers Association

.....Petitioner

Versus

Directorate of Enforcement and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**

Present: Mr. Sahil Ghai, Advocate,
and Mr. Anuj Kishore, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagjot Singh Lalli, Deputy Solicitor General of
India with Mr. Manish Verma, Advocate,
for respondent No.1-ED.

Mr. Akaant Kumar Mittal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-Union Bank of India,
(Through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Jayant Puneet Bamal, Advocate,
for Mr. H.S. Gill, Advocate,
for respondent No.4-HSVP.

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (ORAL)

Challenge of an Association of Home Byers, which is
the petitioner, is to Annexure P-28, which is an order, by
which, an application for impleadment of the petitioner before
the Adjudicating Authority has been rejected impliedly on the
ground that the petitioners are not necessary party.

2. The petitioner has an alternative statutory remedy under Section 25 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, to file an appeal before the Appellate Tribunal.

3. It is needless to emphasize that the Tribunal also has the power to condone the delay in filing of the appeal, which remedy can very well be availed by the petitioner.

4. With the aforesaid liberty, the petition stands disposed of without commenting upon its merits. This Court has no manner of doubt that the period spent by the petitioner before this Court, which is of more than one year, will be taken into account by the Appellate Tribunal while considering the application for condonation of delay.

**(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(SUDHIR SINGH)
JUDGE**

15.01.2025
Ajay Prasher

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No