



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M-59270-2024

Date of decision: 23.01.2025

Banno @ Bonna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Taranjit Kaur Hundal, Advocate with
Ms. Harnaaz Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rana, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.93 dated 12.08.2024 under Sections 105, 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station City Nakodar, District Jalandhar Rural.

2. On 28.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation:-

“2. The learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that, except the disclosure statement of petitioner’s co-accused, the investigating agency is not seized of any cogent inculpatory evidence to substantiate the allegations against the petitioner. Moreover, petitioner’s co-accused Sandeep @ Seepa and Beera have been granted regular bail by the learned Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, as is evident from Annexure P-2.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that,



CRM-M-59270-2024

whether the offence under Section 105 of the B.N.S. is attracted against the petitioner or not, is yet to be proved by the prosecution.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 28.11.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 28.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

23.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No