



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 31802-2024

Date of Decision : 08.01.2025

Sandhu Highways Transport Regd. Nakodar Distt. Jalandhar

...Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Jalandhar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has submitted an application for the approval of the regular joint time table on Barnala – Jalandhar Via Raikot, Jagraon, Sidhwan Bet, Nakodar route and return, which application is not being decided and the petitioner thereafter has raised the same grievance through the legal notice dated 23.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated dated 23.12.2023 (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the concerned



authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.

January 08, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No