

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-59720-2024
Date of decision: 22.04.2025

PARAMPAL SINGH....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & Age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Parampal Singh (Aged about 45 years)	86	05.10.2024	333/118, (1)/118, (2)/115 (2)/3(5) of BNS	Rajasani	Amritsar

2. Short reply by way of an affidavit of Inderjit Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Rajasansi, Amritar (Rural) has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record.

3. On 29.11.2024, following order was passed:-

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a



- 2-

case registered against him vide FIR No. 86, dated 05.10.2024, Police Station Rajasansi, District Amritsar, under Sections 333/118, (1)/118, (2)/115, (2)/3(5) of BNS.

The FIR in question was lodged by Dilbag Singh wherein it is alleged that he was inflicted injuries by Ranjit Singh who was carrying a 'datar', Sidak Singh who was having an 'iron rod' and Param Pal who was also carrying a 'datar'. It is alleged that complainant/injured Dilbag Singh sustained two injuries i.e. one on his elbow and the other on left palm and that one of the said injuries had been declared as 'grievous injury'.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of cross-versions wherein two persons from the side of accused also sustained injuries. It has been submitted that while Ranjit from the side of accused sustained as many as 5 injuries including one 'grievous injury', another coaccused i.e. the petitioner also sustained 5 simple injuries. It has been submitted that the genesis of occurrence has been suppressed by the complainant and that in any case it is yet to be determined as to which of the party is an aggressor.

Notice of motion for 22.04.2025.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C."

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 29.11.2024, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated.
5. Learned State Counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, confirms the said averment and submits that the datar has already been recovered



from the petitioner’s possession. However, he could not provide any justification for the petitioner’s custodial interrogation.

6. At this stage, considering the plea addressed by both the sides and by going through the record, I deem it appropriate to allow the said petition and the ad-interim order dated 29.11.2024, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

7. However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

22.04.2025					(SANJAY VASHISTH)
amandeep					JUDGE
	Whether speaking/reasoned.	:		Yes/No	
	Whether Reportable.	:		Yes/No	