



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-59282-2024

Date of decision: 29.01.2025

Joginder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate and
Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.299 dated 22.09.2020 under Sections 186/307/332/353/420/427/472 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 15(C) of the NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

2. On 28.11.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for petitioner argued that he is falsely implicated in this case only on the ground that he has allegedly changed engine number, chasis number of the vehicle which was in possession of Vijay @ Bijju at the time of alleged recovery. Otherwise, there are no allegations against him falling under NDPS Act or under



CRM-M-59282-2024

the provisions of Section 186, 307, 332 and 353 of IPC.

It is pointed out that present petitioner is having business of sale and purchase of old cars and in that course he had purchased damaged vehicle from Bhupinder Kumar and after repair it was sold to Vijay @ Bijju. It is conceded that RC of vehicle is still in the name of petitioner. However, the vehicle was sold on the basis of one agreement. He is ready to join the investigation and will fully cooperate.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 28.11.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 28.11.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS. Needless to add, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the same.

29.01.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No