



206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59530-2024

Date of Decision:15.02.2025

HARINDERPREET SINGH @ HAVEN**...PETITIONER****VS.****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.58 dated 07.08.2024, registered under Sections 109/115(2)/351(2)/191(3)/190 BNS, and Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Sherpur, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the present case is a case of version and cross version, wherein the FIR has been got registered by the complainant party by concocted a false version. Even the complainant side belongs to the ruling party in the State of Punjab and the FIR has been got registered by him under the political pressure. He further refers to the




photographs Annexure P-3 to contend that as per the photographs/CCTV footage, the complainant party had opened the attack on the petitioner's side and caused serious injuries to him. He further contends that as per the prosecution version, the petitioner has given a blow with an iron rod on the back of Jasvir Singh @ Jassa and Amritpal Singh. As per him, the injured have already been discharged from the hospital and are hale and hearty. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested on 24.08.2024 and the challan has already been presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner had actively participated in the commission of crime and does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

5. In the present case, the petitioner is attributed two injuries, which were caused on the backside of Jasvir Singh and Amritpal Singh. However, both the injured have already been discharged from the hospital. The petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about 06 months and final report under Section 173 has already been presented against him. Moreover, the prosecution is yet to lead evidence with regard to the question of aggressor in the present case.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on his



furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/
Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate.

15.02.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No