



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-56919-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.12.2025

SUNDER

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Bharat Julka, Advocate and
Ms. Sunita Devi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Naresh Kumar.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.213 dated 31.05.2025, registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

2. On 09.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.213 dated 31.05.2025, registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, Haryana.

Notice of motion. Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated. He was never engaged to work privately by Rajendra Singh Patwari. Infact, his crop had got damaged and a



- 2-

sum of Rs.40,500/- was deposited in his bank account as compensation by the State Government but now it is being alleged that only a sum of Rs.17,375/- was payable to him and he has been given excess payment of Rs.38,444/-. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to refund the amount. He never induced anyone to pay him the excess amount and it is the State Government or its officials, who were at fault while depositing the amount in his account. Learned counsel further contended that he is ready to join the investigation and nothing is to be recovered from his possession and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that there are serious allegations against the petitioner that he had dishonestly and fraudulently caused the wrongful loss to the State Government. As such, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 02.12.2025 for filing status report.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the condition, petitioner shall deposit the amount received by him with the Investigating Officer and other following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*



iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

- 3. Today learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 09.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
- 4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 09.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

02.12.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No