



- 1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-57140-2025
Date of decision: 03.12.2025

RAJ SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Rajpal Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.84 dated 24.08.2025, registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Sarai Amant Khan, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 13.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.84 dated 24.08.2025, registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Sarai Amant Khan, District Tarn Taran.

Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that a raid was allegedly conducted at the house of petitioner by the police where he was not present and 25 bottles of illicit liquor kept in a plastic cane were allegedly recovered. Learned counsel further contended that



- 2-

recovery has already been effected and nothing more remains to be recovered. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that the petitioner has committed a heinous offence and by possessing and selling illicit liquor, he is playing with the lives of innocent persons and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*Adjourned to **03.12.2025** for filing status report.*

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”

3. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 13.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 13.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.



However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

03.12.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No