

363-CRM-M-59026-2024

2025.PHHC:008293



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59026-2024

Date of decision: 22.01.2025

Prince Purewal @ Prince and anotherPetitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Gurpal Kaur Daulat, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under 482 Cr.PC seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties on 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-2). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
35	23.02.2020	341, 323, 506, 379-B, 427, 148 and 149 IPC	Basti Bawa Khel, Police Commissionerate Jalandhar

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise

363-CRM-M-59026-2024

2025.PHHC:009293



deed dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, vide report dated 17.01.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that the cost, so imposed, by this Court vide order dated 07.01.2025, has already been deposited.

4. Respondents Nos. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, dated 17.01.2025 and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.35 dated 23.02.2020 under Sections 341, 323, 506, 379-B, 427, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Basti Bawa Khel, Police

363-CRM-M-59026-2024

2025.PHHC:008293



Commissionerte Jalandhar, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

22.01.2025
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |