



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

281**ARB-585-2024****Date of decision: 08.04.2025****AJAY KUMAR****....APPLICANT****Vs.****MURARI KUMAR****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Nimish Chib, Advocate (through V.C.) and
Mr. Abhimanu Jangra, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. The parties entered into arbitration agreement dated 23.02.2021. A dispute erupted between the parties. The applicant served notice upon respondent seeking resolution of dispute through arbitral tribunal but to no avail.
3. Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, counsel for the respondent expressed his inability to controvert execution of arbitration agreement and service of notice.
4. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

**ARB-585-2024****-2-**

5. Mr. Vinod Jain, former District & Sessions Judge, residing at House No.381, Sector 1, IMT, Manaser, Gurugram, Mobile No. 9468178585 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

6. The parties at the first instant will appear before the Arbitrator on 22.04.2025 at 10.00 A.M. and thereafter as directed by learned Arbitrator.

7. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

8. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

9. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

10. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Vinod Jain.

11. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

08.04.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No