



215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-57317-2025
Date of decision: 16.12.2025**

JATINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: None.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Today, lawyers are abstaining from work.
2. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.21, dated 24.02.2025, registered at Police Station Khamanon, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1), under Sections 21, 22-C, 29 of NDPS Act and Section 111 of BNS, 2023 (Sections 22-C and 29 of NDPS and Section 111 of BNS, 2023 added later on).

3. On 29.10.2025, following order was passed:-

1. *Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.21, dated 24.02.2025, registered at Police Station Khamanon, District Fatehgarh Sahib (Annexure P-1), under Sections 21 of NDPS Act (Section 22-C and 29 of NDPS and Section 111 of BNS added later on).*
2. *Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.*
3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner was initially arrested for being in possession of 5.13 grams of heroin and was released on regular bail by the Trial Court. Thereafter, two persons, namely Gaganjot Singh and his wife Manpreet Kaur @ Soni, were nominated as*



accused, from whom 1,500 intoxicating tablets were recovered, besides 5 grams of heroin and ₹3,000 as drug money. Learned counsel contended that both these co-accused made disclosure statements to the effect that the petitioner used to purchase the narcotic substance from them, and now he is again sought to be arrested, although the recovery has already been effected from the co-accused. The petitioner has no concern with the contraband recovered from the co-accused, and their disclosure statements in this regard are not admissible against him. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner has committed a heinous offence and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*5. Adjourned to **16.12.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

4. Today, ASI Lachman Singh has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 29.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 29.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.



- 6. Disposed of.
- 7. Pending misc application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

16.12.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No