



CRM-M-56918-2025

-1-

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56918-2025

Date of decision: 10.12.2025

MIR MAHAMMAD ALI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Gurjant Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D.Sachdeva, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Joshi, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.72 dated 04.09.2023, under Sections 408 and 420 of IPC wherein Sections 465, 467, 468, 471, 474, 201 and 120-B IPC were added later on in challan, registered at Police Station Kathgarh, District SBS Nagar.

Vide order dated 27.11.2025, while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to order dated 27.11.2025, petitioner has joined the investigation and as such his interim bail may be made absolute.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, affirmed the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has not cooperated with the same.

Complainant is present in person. The counsel appearing for the complainant have time and again withdrawn their power of attorney. This Court has given offer to the complainant to be provided with counsel from the Legal Aid, however, he has out rightly denied the same. Complainant opposes the contentions raised by counsel for the petitioner only on the ground that Investigating Agencies and judicial system is corrupt. Perusal of the case would show that such allegations have been repeatedly made by the complainant and the case is being transferred from one Court to another. The only intention of the complainant is to prolong the proceedings. Though, the demeanour of the

complainant is *prima facie* contemptuous but this Court has no inclination to

**CRM-M-56918-2025****-2-**

waste its precious time and would confine itself to the merits of the case.

In view of the above, interim order dated 27.11.2025 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

Petition stands allowed.

Parties would be bound by the terms and conditions of this order.

Complainant has also opposed the bail on the ground that the Investigating Agencies are intentionally not carrying out the investigation so as to benefit to the accused.

The Court on hearing find that there are multiple accused in the present case and the co-accused are already on anticipatory bail. The apprehension of the complainant cannot be ignored and Investigating Agencies are directed to proceed with the investigation in a free and fair manner and the complainant has every right to avail his remedies, in case, there is any lapse on the part of the investigation as in accordance with law.

10.12.2025

*renubala***(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)**
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No