

S. No.220

2025:PHHC:167538



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56842 of 2025

Date of Decision:02.12.2025

Surjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Ramesh Lal.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.126 dated 19.09.2025 registered under Sections 318(4), 316(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station IT City, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. On 09.10.2025, following order was passed:-

Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.126 dated 19.09.2025, registered under Sections 318(4), 316(2) & 61(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station IT City, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Berjeshwar Jaswal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and accepts notice and filed his memorandum of appearance which is taken on record. He further undertakes to file power of attorney before the next date of hearing.

The present case was registered on the basis of complaint given to the police by Rahul Saini, Managing Director of M/s Empire Estates against one Satwinder Singh with the allegations that his firm deals in the sale and purchase of plots/sites and the employees working in the firm assist the allottees in the sale of the sites as well as execution of sale deeds. Satwinder Singh was working in his company and was



assigned the duty to get the sale deeds executed in favour of allottees. Various allottees paid the necessary expenses including stamp charges to him but he did not get the sale deeds executed and registered. Later on, he switched off his mobile phone and disappeared. Thereafter, it was revealed that a sum of Rs.2,89,000/- has been transferred in the account of present petitioner namely Surjeet Singh, who is a stamp vendor and some amount has also been given to some other stamp vendors as well but the stamp papers have not been supplied as yet.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is a stamp vendor and he had received an amount of Rs.2,89,000/- for sale of stamp papers but no one contacted him for collecting the stamp papers. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to refund the amount and deposit the same with the Investigating Officer and except this, there is no allegation against him that he ever induced the victims to pay any amount to him or refused to supply the stamp papers. Learned counsel further contended that nothing is to be recovered from his possession. He is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court.

On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner had connived with said Satwinder Singh from the very beginning and has cheated numerous allottees and has not supplied the stamp papers despite the money having been transferred in his favour. As such, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 02.12.2025 for filing status report.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the condition, petitioner shall deposit the amount received by him with the Investigating Officer and other following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under subsection (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.



3. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 09.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 09.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
5. Disposed of.
6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

December 02, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No