



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CRM-M-58796-2024**Date of decision: April 01, 2025**

MAHINDER KUMAR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sahil, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.667 dated 09.11.2024 under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

2. Vide order dated 25.11.2024, notice of motion was issued and the relevant part of the order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioners, who are aadhtis, had just stood as sureties and had no role to play in the crime in question.”

3. Vide order dated 08.01.2025, the petitioner had been granted interim bail by this Court, with direction to join investigation and the relevant part of the said order reads as under:-

“On being put to notice, learned State counsel, on instructions, has not disputed that the petitioners had only stood as sureties and other than that they had no role to play in the alleged crime; the prime accused in the present case was Mohit Kumar, Proprietor of M/s Bajaj Rice Mills, who had allegedly duped HAFED of an amount of Rs.2,67,00,000/-. However, it has been asserted by the learned State counsel that petitioner no.2 happens to be the father of co-accused Mohit Kumar.”



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner reiterates that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has no role to play in the alleged crime. He submits that in compliance of order dated 08.01.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation, much less for their custodial interrogation.
6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed, and interim order dated 08.01.2025 is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) BNSS.

April 01, 2025
Jaspreet Kaur

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*