



CWP-28466-2023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CWP-28466-2023
Date of Decision : 14.11.2025

Jaspal Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

The Secretary to State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Advocate and
Ms. Shagun, Advocate for
Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Pratibha Bali, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the State, on the last date of hearing, had apprised this Court that revised time table has already been prepared, and the same will be issued shortly.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not received the copy of the revised time table.
3. Learned counsel for the State, undertakes to furnish the copy of the revised time table, to learned counsel for the petitioner, during the day itself.
4. In view of the specific statement suffered by the learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner does not wish to press the instant writ petition.
5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn being not pressed.**

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

November 14, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No