

Date of Decision: 11.11.2025

...Petitioner

...Respondents

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case registered against the petitioner was malicious, motivated and petitioner had been falsely implicated. In fact, at the time of alleged incident at 7:30 am on

CRM-M-57753-2025 (O&M)

-2-

28.08.2025, petitioner was present at his house and his presence was duly recorded in the CCTV footage. She further submits that there was significant and unexplained delay of 14 days in registration of FIR and in compliance of order dated 15.10.2025, petitioner has already joined the investigation.

4. Vide order dated 15.10.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner was granted interim bail and was directed to join investigation.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Savita Rani submits that petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation. Learned counsel for the complainant, however, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail arguing that prosecutrix is only 14 years old and her family apprehended threat to her life.

6. Petitioner has already joined the investigation pursuant to order of this Court dated 15.10.2025 and his further custodial interrogation is not required. In the facts and circumstances of the case but without commenting on merits, the petition is allowed and order dated dated 15.10.2025, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

11.11.2025
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No