

CR-7206-2025

1

2025:PHHC:164382



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-7206-2025

Date of decision : 27.11.2025

Veer Singh alias Beer Singh alias Bir Singh alias Veera Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurdeep Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.J.K. Singla, Advocate,
Ms.Shivani Singla, Advocate and
Ms.Suman Rani, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 15.09.2025 vide which the application for staying the execution of ex-parte judgment and decree dated 05.05.2018 during the pendency of application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC read with Section 151 CPC has been dismissed.

2. On 27.10.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate for the respondent.



Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted a demand draft amounting to Rs.2,20,000/- prepared in the name of respondent which has been handed over to learned counsel for the respondent which fact has been reiterated by learned counsel for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the parties have submitted that there is possibility of amicable settlement between the parties.

Adjourned to 27.11.2025.

Interim order to continue.

To be shown in the urgent list.

27.10.2025”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent have jointly submitted that in the present case, final settlement has taken place as per which the petitioner is to pay Rs.9 lacs to the respondent. It is further submitted that the said amount of Rs.9 lacs has been paid to the respondent. It is submitted that it has further been agreed that the execution application filed by the respondent would be withdrawn on the next date of hearing.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent has fairly submitted that the respondent would withdraw the execution application and has no objection in case the attached property of the petitioner is released.

5. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the



present petition is disposed of. Both the parties would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No