

2025.PHHC:096355



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116-2

RSA-106-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.07.2025

Maya Devi

...Appellant

Versus

Sub Divisional Officer (Operation Division) Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran
Nigam Ltd. and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate and
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Baldev Raj Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Perna Malhotra, Advocate and
Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS SURI, J.

1. The plaintiff-appellant is in present Regular Second Appeal challenging the judgment and decree passed by the First Appellate Court vide which the judgment and decree dated 20.10.2022 passed by the trial Court was set aside and her civil suit was dismissed.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that the question of law involved in the present appeal as to whether jurisdiction of the Civil Court is barred in view of Section 145 of the Electricity Act, 2003, has since been decided by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 14.05.2025 passed in ***Mahesh Kumar Versus Sub Divisional Officer and another***, reported in 2025(3)

**RCR (Civil) 303.**

3. Faced with the same, learned counsel for the plaintiff-appellant seeks permission to withdraw the present appeal as well as her civil suit itself with liberty to avail the remedies as available in law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

5. It is made clear that any evidence recorded/observations made in the impugned judgments and decree would not operate as *res judicata* in any subsequent proceedings initiated by the plaintiff-appellant.

6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

July 30, 2025
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes / No
Yes / No