



CRWP-11795-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRWP-11795-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 18.11.2025**

SHINDER PAL KAUR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Deepak Nayar, Advocate and
Ms. Shruti Nayar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to investigate into the complaints/representations (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner and to protect life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos.5 & 6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that respondent No.6 i.e. daughter-in-law of the petitioner, in connivance with respondent No.5 i.e. SHO concerned is not allowing the petitioner to construct/ carry out the repair work of the roof of the rooms of the house and is causing hindrance to the petitioner. He submitted that representation (Annexure P2), in this regard, has been moved by the petitioner to the authorities concerned, but it went in vain. Learned counsel also submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to concerned



CRWP-11795-2025 (O&M) -2-

respondent to look into the aforesaid representation(s) and to take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and at the very outset, contended that FIR 102 dated 12.08.2022 under Sections 323, 354, 494, 148, 149 IPC has been registered at Police Station Mehatpur and FIR No. 202 dated 29.09.2025 under Sections 115(2), 329(3), 62, 324(4), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Mehatpur have been registered against Amandeep Kaur respondent No.6 on a complaint of the present petitioner.

5. On this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

18.11.2025

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO