



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

308

CRM-M-59195-2024

Date of decision: 05.08.2025

AMANDEEP KAUR AND ORS

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saurav Kanojia, Advocate for
Ms. Taanvi Dhull, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

On 27.11.2024, the following order was passed:-

“The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter infact is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between petitioner no.1 Amandeep Kaur and her husband Harmanjit Singh i.e. respondent no.2 and that the parties have compromised the matter and a petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act has also been filed. It is submitted that the impugned FIR may be quashed so as to enable the estrange couple to move ahead in life. Notice of motion for 28.04.2025.

At this stage, Ms. Tanvi Dhull, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.

The parties are directed to move an application before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned for

getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such application is moved and put up before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall consider the said application and do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise, on any convenient date. After recording the statements of all the affected parties, and upon getting requisite information from Investigating Officer, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded, broadly on the following aspects:

(i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioner, arrayed in this petition.

(ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition.

(iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?

(iv) Whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion?

The report be submitted before this Court on or before the next date.”

Despite passing of the aforementioned order, none has appeared before the said Court to get their statements recorded in terms of the afore-mentioned order dated 27.11.2024.

In view of the above, the present petition stands dismissed.

Needless to say, the petitioners are at liberty to file a fresh petition, **in case any cause of action still survives.**

05.08.2025
Kusum

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No