

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-2385-2024
Reserved on: 02.04.2025
Pronounced on: 29.04.2025

Gurpreet Singh @ Arjun ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate (through V.C.) with
Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen K. Sheoran, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
278	23.06.2024	Chandimandir District Panchkula	25(6) (7) of Arms Act

1. Seeking setting aside of order dated 07.10.2024 passed by Sessions Judge, Panchkula and grant of bail in the FIR captioned above, the petitioner has come up before this Court.
2. In paragraph 12 of the petition, the accused declares that he has no criminal antecedents.
3. Prosecution case is being taken from the impugned order dated 07.10.2024 passed by Sessions Judge, Panchkula. On 23.06.2024, when the police party was on patrolling duty, then they received a secret information that the petitioner is doing the job of supplying illegal weapons to some criminal gangs and in case, he is nabbed, then massive quantity of illegal arms can be recovered. Subsequently, the police party conducted naka and petitioner was apprehended. At that time, he disclosed his age as 19 years. The police recovered six pistols from his possession. Based on this information, petitioner was arrested.
4. Vide order dated 13.09.2024, the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate declared the petitioner as juvenile i.e. under 18 years of age. As per custody certificate dated 01.04.2025, petitioner is in custody for 09 months and 09 days. Even now the petitioner is 18 years and has no criminal antecedents.

5. Given above, this Court wants to afford one opportunity to the petitioner to mend his ways and live like a decent citizen. To enable that, this Court would grant him bail subject to the condition that in case petitioner commits any offence which prescribes the maximum sentence for more than 07 years, then while granting bail in the said case, the concerned Court shall keep in mind that this Court had exercised its judicial discretion to grant bail to the petitioner to enable him for cross correcting and affording him an opportunity to live like a decent and honest citizen, but he did not pay any heed to the Court advise.

6. Given above, provided the petitioner is not required in any other case, the petitioner shall be released on bail in the FIR captioned above subject to furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, and if the matter is before a Court, then the concerned Court and due to unavailability before any nearest Ilaqa Magistrate/duty Magistrate. Before accepting the surety, the concerned Officer/Court must be satisfied that if the accused fails to appear, such surety can produce the accused.

7. While furnishing a personal bond, the petitioner shall mention the following personal identification details:

1.	AADHAR number	
2.	Passport number (If available) and when the attesting officer/court considers it appropriate or considers the accused a flight risk.	
3.	Mobile number (If available)	
4.	E-Mail id (If available)	

8. As such, the present petition is allowed. Impugned order dated 07.10.2024 is quashed and set aside. All pending applications, if any also stands disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

29.04.2025
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.