



CRM-M-56444-2025

-1-

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56444-2025

Decided on: 13.11.2025

Amandeep Kamboj @ Aman Dhot

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Simran Gorla, AAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in a case FIR No.174 dated 03.09.2025, registered under Sections 249 and 253 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Civil Lines, Patiala.

2. Succinctly facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was registered on the statement SI Gurmeet Singh. Allegations were made against the petitioner regarding harbouring the accused, namely, Harmeet Singh Pathan Majra, who is wanted in a rape case. Apprehending arrest, the petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala, for the grant of anticipatory bail. However, learned Court after hearing both the sides, finding no merit in the petition filed by the petitioner, dismissed the same vide order dated 25.09.2025. Hence, aggrieved by the same, the petitioner is before this Court by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently contended that the petitioner has been falsely and frivolously implicated in the present case due to political influence. He has submitted that there is no specific



allegation made against the petitioner in the FIR. He, thus, submits that the petitioner deserves to be granted anticipatory bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that allegations levelled against the petitioner, are serious in nature. She thus, submits that no case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is apparent that the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 15.10.2025 subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. As submitted by learned State counsel, the petitioner did not join the investigation in pursuance to the order 15.10.2025 passed by this Court. This Court had granted another opportunity to the petitioner to join the investigation on 31.10.2025 at 11:00 a.m. However, when the case came up for hearing, on instructions, it was contended by learned State counsel that despite granting another opportunity, the petitioner did not join the investigation. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, could not give any satisfactory explanation regarding the non-compliance of the order passed by this Court.

6. Perusal of the status report by way of affidavit of Satnam Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-I, District Patiala, dated 13.10.2025, would show that the petitioner alongwith other co-accused harboured accused Harmeet Singh Pathanmajra and was in possession of illegal weapons. It is further mentioned in the status report that the petitioner is a habitual offender as he is facing prosecution in five other FIRs.



CRM-M-56444-2025

-3-

7. Needless to say that the case in hand is the petition for grant of anticipatory bail, which is an extra ordinary remedy. Conduct of the petitioner is self speaking as despite having been granted opportunities, he has not complied with the terms and conditions of the interim anticipatory bail granted to him, by this Court. Thus, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to be granted the concession of anticipatory bail. Hence, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.11.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No