



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237+108

CWP-29545-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.02.2025

Pardeep Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate and
Mr. Garvit Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Sr. Standing Counsel, and
Mr. Raywant Kaushish, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 3 and 4-University.

Mr. B.S. Rana, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate;
Ms. Anu Chaudhary, Advocate;
Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate for respondents No.5 and 6.

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Mr. Krishan K. Chahal, Advocate for respondent No.8.

Mr. Arjun Singh, Advocate for respondents No.9, 11 and 12.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CM-2404-CWP-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for subject to all just
exceptions. Short rejoinder as well as annexures R-7/11 and R-7/12 are



237+108

CWP-29545-2023 (O&M)

taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place with its paging.

Main case:

Raising a challenge to the minutes of meeting dated 13.12.2019 of the Selection Committee recommending private respondent(s) for appointment to the post of Associate Professor in the Department of Law, Central University of Haryana on regular basis, the present writ petition has been filed. A further prayer has been made for setting aside the consequent selection and appointment of respondents No.6 and 7 on the said post pursuant to the Advertisement No.CUH/4/T/R-2019 dated 11.09.2019.

Before going into the factual matrix and the dispute as well as the claims raised by the parties or commenting on the merits thereof, it would be sufficient to refer that on 28.11.2024, time was sought by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University, to obtain instructions as to whether the respondent-University stands by the selection or not.

Counsel for the respondent-University has handed over a communication bearing No.CUH/VCS/2024/1339 dated 17.12.2024, in a sealed cover in the Court today, the same has been opened and perused. As per the said communication, the respondent-University has taken a decision that it does not stand by the selection on the ground that the same is not in accordance with law. The said communication is taken on record as **Mark- 'A'**.



237+108 CWP-29545-2023 (O&M)

In view of the aforesaid stand now adopted by the respondent-University, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has no further grievance to press at this stage and would be satisfied in case the respondent-University is directed to take a consequential decision in pursuance of the decision taken by them vide communication dated 17.12.2024, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-University submits that an appropriate decision in terms of the stand taken by the University shall be taken as per the procedure established by it, after affording opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

In view of the above, the instant writ petition is **disposed of** as not pressed at this stage.

Liberty is, however, granted to the parties to take appropriate action, if so advised, against any subsequent action/decision that may be taken or is already taken by the respondent-University.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

17.02.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No