



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.223 (II)

Date of Decision: 28.02.2025

1) CWP No.31459 of 2024

Paramjeet Kaur Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

2) CWP No.31848 of 2024

Rajwinder Kaur Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

3) CWP No.32323 of 2024

Jyoti Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

4) CWP No.32785 of 2024

Deepak Kumar Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

5) CWP No.33893 of 2024

Ravi Soni Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents



6) CWP No.34350 of 2024

Monu Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

7) CWP No.31626 of 2024 (O&M)

Seema Rani Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

8) CWP No.799 of 2025

Seema Devi Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

9) CWP No. 30045 of 2024 (O&M)

Bindu Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate,
Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate,
Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate,
Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, assisted by
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, and
Mr. Balvinder Sangwan, Advocate,
for the respondent/Commission.



TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

These petitions have been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the petitioners for appointment to the posts of PGTs in different subject in their respective categories pursuant to advertisement 18 to 37 of 2024.

2. Learned counsel for the Commission have produced photocopies of two separate composite affidavits, dated 27.02.2025, sworn by Secretary of the Commission in CWP No.29927 of 2024 and CWP No.30045 of 2024, giving case-wise details of marks obtained by each of the petitioner(s) in these petitions, which are taken on record as Annexures ‘A’ and ‘B’. They have also produced result of petitioner in CWP No.799 of 2025 separately, which is also taken on the said case file as Annexure ‘A’. These results show, the petitioners herein have secured lesser marks than the cut-off in their respective categories.

3. In view thereof, the claimed relief cannot be granted and the petitions stand dismissed.

4. A photocopy of this order be placed on connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

28.02.2025
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No