

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:158785



(207)
CRM-M-56450-2025 (O&M)

Ravinder KaurPetitioner(s)
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent(s)

Decided on : 18.11.2025
Date of uploading: 18.11.2025

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Harpreet S. Rakhra, Advocate for the petitioner (s).
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.0226 dated 21.07.2020 registered for offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 03.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.0226 dated 21.07.2020 registered for offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148, 149 of IPC at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a lady aged 54 years; the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in

nature & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab.

At this stage; Mr. Navkiran Singh, Advocate has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant.

Adjourned to 29.10.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 08.10.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation. He has further submitted that a cancellation report qua the FIR in question was prepared and stand submitted before the concerned Magistrate, but the same has not been accepted and the concerned Magistrate had directed for further investigation in the FIR in question.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and in case the petitioner is afforded the protection of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that she may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the witnesses. Learned counsel for the complainant has further submitted that the petitioner has again assaulted the complainant-side on 04.10.2025 and given them injuries.

5. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation & cooperated therein, her custodial interrogation being not required by the State and a cancellation report having been filed by the State though not accepted by the concerned Court, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 03.10.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

November 18, 2025

Naveen

**(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No