



CRM-M-57233-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-57233-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:26.11.2025

Ajay Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab

Mr. GPS Ghumman, Advocate,
for the complainant (Through VC).

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 14.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is stated to be empty-handed and had raised only a lalkara, while the injuries have been attributed to the other co-accused and even the dispute with regard to money was between co-accused Vinay and the complainant. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAS, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Mr. G.P.S. Ghumman, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of complainant.

**CRM-M-57233-2025 (O&M) 2**

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 27.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 26.11.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 14.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)



CRM-M-57233-2025 (O&M) 3

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 26, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No