



CRWP No.11268-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP No.11268-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.01.2025

Kirna Devi and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Nipun Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for respondent No.4.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution praying for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners at the hands of private respondent.

2. In compliance of order dated 21.11.2024 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, status report by way of affidavit dated 09.01.2025 of Mr. Sunil Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter, Kurukshetra on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 along with Annexures R-1 to R-3 has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. For reference, Para 2 of the affidavit is re-capitulated as under:

“Thereafter ASI Naresh met with the petitioners and respondent no.4. The petitioners have stated that they are under threat of danger to their life from respondent No.4. The police



inquired and recorded the statement of petitioners as annexure R-1 and R-2. After that police inquired and record the statement of respondent no.4 as Annexure R-3 and she stated in her statement that she have never given any threat to petitioners. The respondent no.4 also gave her statement that she will not harm the petitioners. She also gave their statements to the police in this regard. The Copies of statements of petitioners are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-1 to R-2 and statements of respondent no.4 are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-3 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.”

4. Perusal of the aforesaid extract clearly reveals that as on today, there is no threat to the life and liberty of petitioners.
5. In view of the above, this Court does not find any justification to keep the matter pending and/or to issue any directions to the official respondents.
6. Disposed off accordingly.
7. Needless to say that in case need so arises, petitioners would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedy available under law.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

09.01.2025
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No